

MHHS Migration and Cutover Advisory Group (MCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 24/06/2026

Meeting number **MCAG 026**

Venue **Virtual – MS Teams**

Date and time **23 June 2026 1400-1600**

Classification **Public**

Actions:

Previous Meeting(s)	MCAG25-01	Programme to update the link to meeting papers in the MCAG meeting invite.	PMO	23/06/26	RECOMMENDED CLOSED: Meeting invite has been updated to provide access to latest meeting papers.
	MCAG25-02	The DIP Manager to provide a summary of content presented at DCAB and TOG regarding the escalation process and consequences document at the next MCAG on 23 June 2026.	The DIP Manager	23/06/26	ONGOING: Content is being presented to TOG and DCAB 30 June 2026, with an update to be provided following this at the July MCAG.
CR065 Next Steps Update	MCAG26-01	Programme to ensure that the updated version of section 2.1 of the Migration Framework Foundations Document is shared with PSG ahead of PSG paper publication for review.	Programme	01/07/26	RECOMMENDED CLOSED: Updated version referred to in the PSG slide pack for 01 July 2026 meeting

Decisions:

Headline Report and Actions	MCAG-DEC74	<p>The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the headline report of the previous meeting on 26 May 2026.</p>	<p>The Programme invited objections to the approval of the document, to which none were received.</p>
------------------------------------	-------------------	--	---

Key Discussion Items:

Headline Report and Actions	<p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the headline report of the previous meeting on 26 May 2026 (MCAG-DEC74 – recording timestamp 2:25).</p>
Settlement Timetable Work Update	<p>The Programme provided an update on the Settlement Timetable and STEG activity. They noted that the RF Decision Consultation closed 26 May 2026, with an excellent response rate of 33 total responses covering eight constituencies.</p> <p>The Programme highlighted that responses showed a majority of respondents in favour (and broadly even split across the two consultation questions when broken down by number of MPANs across all Suppliers) on reducing RF to seven months and four months, respectively, and that responses represented a high proportion of MPANs in the market. The Programme also summarised the key themes raised by respondents who did not support the reduction; including PAF and Supplier Charges impacts, timing of implementation, settlement performance monitoring and other matter such as MHHS design or wider code change considerations. The Chair also noted that the themes from respondents who supported the reduction had been presented at the 10 June 2026 STEG, but were not repeated in the MCAG papers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Large Supplier Representative queried the timeframes for next steps and how the issues raised would be addressed. The Programme clarified that responses and updated decision criteria had been issued in the latest STEG papers and would be discussed at the STEG meeting on 24 June 2026, with the aim of bringing a recommendation to MCAG so that a RF reduction decision can be taken in September. • The RECCo Representative clarified that while the settlement window may reduce, the timeframe for resolution of related issues would remain at one year, which the Programme agreed to clarify in future wording. <p>The Chair and the Programme noted that depending on the outcome of STEG, an extraordinary MCAG may be required in early July 2026.</p>
ELS Exit Recommendations Update	<p>The Elexon Representative provided an update on progress against the ELS Exit Recommendations. They noted that the majority of recommendations have been progressed and that Elexon continues to work with senior management and the IPA in relation to the remaining strategic recommendations, with a further update expected at PSG on 01 July 2026. The Elexon Representative confirmed that PSG will receive the update as a progress note on the IPA review, rather than for any recommendation or decision.</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Large Supplier Representative queried the latest update shown against recommendation 3. In response, the DIP Manager confirmed that the current timetable is working to 30 June 2026 and that the item is also being presented at TOG that Friday to close out the compliance and consequence escalation elements around performance assurance, including working with the relevant Code Bodies and their assurance bodies where participants are non-compliant. A further update will be provided at the July MCAG in line with MCAG25-02. <p><i>Post-meeting note:</i> The updated position on Recommendation 3 is included in v2 of the MCAG papers, published to the MCAG area of the Collaboration Base.</p>
<p>Migration Execution</p>	<p><u>Migration Execution</u></p> <p>The Programme provided an update on Migration Execution. They noted that, while the paper showed 11.4 million migrations completed as of 12 June 2026, the latest position discussed is 12.5 million MPANs completed as of 19 June 2026. They also highlighted that most Suppliers are progressing in line with plan, with a small number behind plan expected to recover in Sprint 3.</p> <p>The Programme noted that Non-SIT Suppliers were able to start Migration from 15 May 2026 and that five of the seven Suppliers expected to start in Sprint 2 had done so. They also noted that, whilst some Suppliers had reduced or paused Migrations due to internal issues, engagement with MCC is ongoing to agree resumption plans. The Programme also noted that exception volumes remain low overall, although there has recently been a spike in IF-032 rejections linked to issues affecting a small number of Suppliers.</p> <p>The Chair clarified that Sprint 3 is due to complete by 03 August 2026, and the Programme confirmed that by the end of July over 50% of MPANs will be migrated.</p> <p><u>Sprint 3 Baseline</u></p> <p>The Programme outlined that the Sprint 3 baseline, published on 28 May 2026, includes approximately 8.9 million MPANs and is the highest-volume Migration Sprint. They noted that volumes exceed the 200k daily threshold on several days and that delivery of Sprint 3 as planned would realign progress with the original M11 baseline by the end of the Sprint. The Programme also highlighted that lower volumes in Sprint 8 provide additional time to address exceptions ahead of M15.</p>
<p>Participant-Driven Migration Dependencies</p>	<p>The Programme took the participant-driven Migration dependencies as read and confirmed that all dependencies remain Green. They noted that, although some Non-SIT Suppliers have experienced localised issues and temporary migration reductions, these are not currently considered a material risk to achieving M15.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Large Supplier Representative noted that, in future reporting, commentary against dependency D418 should also recognise the importance of CP1632, which some Suppliers consider a greater concern than CP1628 because of timing. The Programme agreed to reflect this in future commentary, and clarified that November 2026 remains the latest viable implementation date for the relevant change. This still allows sufficient time for impacted MPANs to migrate ahead of M15.
<p>CR065 Next Steps Update</p>	<p>The Programme provided an update on CR065 and the alignment of the Migration Baseline Documents to Modification P487. They noted that the Impact Assessment closed on 12 June 2026 and received 15 responses, of which 13 supported the change, one abstained and one stated no impact, with no respondents rejecting the proposal. The Programme highlighted that respondents</p>

	<p>viewed the change as necessary to support fair and predictable implementation of P487, while also reducing risk and supporting M15 delivery.</p> <p>The Programme explained that one change had been made to section 2.1 of the Migration Framework Foundations Document following Impact Assessment, to make the signposting to the relevant BSC Section C wording more explicit. They also clarified that they do not intend to amend the Framework in response to comments on problematic MPANs or on the wording of BSC Section C itself, as these are outside the scope of CR065 or are being progressed through separate programme activity. The Programme confirmed that the Change Board has recommended that the SRO approve the Change Request at PSG next week.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The RECCo Representative asked whether the updated wording in section 2.1 would be made available before PSG papers were issued. The Programme confirmed that it would. <p>ACTION: Programme to ensure that the updated version of section 2.1 of the Migration Framework Foundations Document is shared with PSG ahead of PSG paper publication for review (MCAG26-01).</p>
<p>Migration Exclusions List</p>	<p>The Programme provided an update on Migration Exclusions and remediations requiring code change resolution. They noted that CP1634 consultation has closed and is scheduled for an SVG decision in July, while CP1628 remains approved for a November 2026 implementation.</p> <p>The Programme also reported that early June progress reviews have shown a significant reduction in Supplier troublesome MPAN volumes. They stated that just over 50% of initial reviews had been received and that total volume had reduced by around 65%, from just over 250,000 MPANs to just over 90,000 MPANs, with the largest reduction seen in CSS Registration ID volumes. They noted that a more detailed burndown of all items would be presented from the next MCAG onwards.</p>
<p>External Change Log</p>	<p>The Programme provided an update on key external changes supporting delivery of M15. They noted that over 90% of the changes being tracked have now either been approved or implemented and highlighted that positive progress continues to be made across the external changes being monitored. The Programme also noted that only two or three changes remain outstanding, and that approved changes will continue to be tracked until implementation.</p>
<p>MWG and Sprint 2 Retro Update</p>	<p><u>MWG Update</u></p> <p>The Programme provided an update from MWG held on 11 June 2026. They noted that discussions focused on Migration Execution, migration observations, IF-032 rejection codes, Sprint 3 planning, MPANs with a Trading Status of X with an active Supplier Registration, meterless export MPANs in a created status, and Migration Exclusions.</p> <p>The Programme highlighted that positive progress had been made in reducing MPANs with a Trading Status of X and that this is now viewed as a BAU activity for Suppliers to manage. They also noted that the only call-out raised by participants was that, in the event of a SoLR, remediation of inherited MPANs in this status may not be resolved within Programme timescales, although the Programme confirmed that this scenario is already catered for within the Migration SoLR process.</p> <p><u>MWG Backlog</u></p>

	<p>The Programme noted that the MWG backlog continues to track outstanding activity, including framework parameter adjustments, the thresholds document, SoLR Migration and MPANs with a Trading Status of X with an active Supplier Registration. They also confirmed that there are no open actions with MWG.</p> <p><u>Sprint 2 Retrospective: Lesson Learned</u></p> <p>The Programme provided an update from the Sprint 2 Retrospective held on 15 June 2026. They noted that discussions focused on IF-032 rejection rates, half-migrated MPANs, unused capacity and exception management. The Programme highlighted that good progress had been made in reducing half-migrated MPANs, although some aged cases remain, and that MCC is considering whether any further action is needed to support mitigation in later sprints. They also noted that further work is underway on scenarios contributing to REG1032 / incorrect market segment rejections, with an update to be provided at a future MWG.</p>
Programme Milestones Related to MCAG	<p>The section was taken as read. The Chair noted that the milestone relating to the M16 approach is currently red because the forecast approval date has been missed (as the Programme was working through consultation responses on the shortening of RF). The milestone should be met through an extraordinary MCAG (TBC, early July) following the outcome of STEG meeting on 24 June 2026 and its recommendations to MCAG.</p>
Top Programme Risks Related to MCAG	<p>The section was taken as read - no comments or questions were raised by MCAG members.</p>
Programme Updates	<p>The section was taken as read - no comments or questions were raised by MCAG members.</p>
Summary and Next Steps	<p>The Chair and Secretariat summarised the decisions and actions from the meeting.</p>

Date of next MCAG: 28 July 2026

Attendees

Chair		Stuart Scott	Industry SME
Justin Andrews	Chair	Warren Fulton	Migration Lead
		Ian Smith	Assurance & Integration Lead
Industry Representatives		Rebecca Searle	PMO Analyst
Andrew Green (alternate for Gareth Evans)	I&C Representative		
Simon John Harrison	Supplier Agent Representative	Other Members/Attendees	
Graham Wood	Large Supplier Representative	Jenny Boothe	Ofgem
David Yeoman	DNO Representative	Andy MacFaul	Ofgem
Jonny Moore	RECCo Representative	Reece Harris	IPA
Ian Giles	Elexon Representative	Liam Evans	IPA
James Stokes	DIP Manager	Joe Ashworth	IPA
Lijo Louis	Medium Supplier Representative		
Philip Roberts	DCC Representative	Apologies	
Ryan Todd	DCC Representative	Mark Treanor	Small Supplier Representative
		Andrew Dudkowsky	NESO Representative
MHHS IM Members		Michael Ceney	Elexon Representative (as BSC/BSCCo Manager)
Nicola Farley	MCC Lead	Morven Hunter	iDNO Representative
Joe Grisley	MCC Lead Delivery Project Manager		